

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMÊD INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/730,678	12/08/2003	Thomas P. Warner	WS-0001	5721	
75	90 03/14/2005	* •	EXAMINER		
John Buckert 36612 Tulane Drive Sterling Heights, MI 48312			WILSON	WILSON, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		ŧ	3732		
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
		10/730,678	WARNER, THOMAS P.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		John J. Wilson	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimun ill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
· —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a) accepted o drawing(s) be held in a on is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received s have been received ity documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 2/4/05.	Pap 5) 🔲 Noti	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 10/730,678

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 12-17 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beier et al (4571681) in view of Murry et al (4156127). Beier shows a system for controlling devices shown in the figure, a foot pedal 16, 75, control component 12 operationally associated with 16 and 75 that generate and transmit over lines a different signal depending on the device that is selected. Beier does not show a remote controller. Murry teaches that it is a known alternative to use a foot pedal that is either wired, Fig. 3, or remote, Fig. 2 and column 14, lines 19-46. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Beier to include a remote controller as shown by Murry in order to make use of art known alternatives to control medical equipment without being restricted by wires. As to claims 4 and 6, Beier teaches using microprocessors for control circuitry as is well known. As to claims 12-14, Beier teaches a position sensor in the form of a potentiometer, column 5, lines 17-47. The specific number of different signals used and type of signals is an obvious matter of choice in the specific controls desired to the skilled artisan.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beier et al (4571681) in view of Murry et al (4156127) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Jones et al (4114275). The above combination does not show using a pneumatic valve switch. Jones teaches that it is known to use pneumatic valve switches for controls. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include a pneumatic valve as shown by Jones in order to best control the devices in the desired manner.

Claims 18 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beier et al (4571681) in view of Murry et al (4156127) as applied to claims 1 and 22 above, and further in view of Fornoff et al (5931669). The above combination does not show using a video board. Fornoff teaches using a camera and freezing video, column 4, lines 21-37. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include a using a camera and system that allows for video to be frozen as shown by Fornoff in order to better see the work site. It is well known to the skilled artisan to use a video board to capture stills.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beier et al (4571681) in view of Murry et al (4156127) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tockweiler et al (6074388). The above combination does not show using infrared to transmit the signal. Tockweiler teaches that the use of infrared to transmit signals is a known alternative, column 1, lines 53-56. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include the use of infrared as shown by Tockweiler in order to make use of known alternatives to better transmit a control signal in the desired manner.

Art Unit: 3732

Drawings

The drawings filed December 8, 2003 have been found to be acceptable by the examiner.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaltenbach et al (4180812) shows a control system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached at (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,678

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

The Julian

Page 5

jjw March 9, 2005